BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)	
Against:)	
LEANDRO GATUS, M.D)	File No: 05-1997-81247
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate #A-45231)	
)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	·

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 11, 2000.

IT IS SO ORDERED July 12, 2000

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ira Lubell, M.D. Chair, Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

1	Bill Lockyer, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California RICHARD D. MARINO (State Bar No. 90471)		
	Deputy Attorney General .		
3	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212		
4	Los Angeles, California 90013=1233 Telephone: (213) 897-8644		
5	Attorneys for Complainant		
6			
7	DEECDE THE		
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 05-1997-81247 Against:) OAH No. L-2000010507		
12	LEANDRO G. GATUS, M.D. STIPULATEDSETTLEMENT AND		
13	4731 Conchita Way) DISCIPLINARY ORDER Tarzana, Ca. 91356)		
14	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate)	l	
15	No. A 45231,	ł	
16	Respondent.)		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the		
19	parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following		
20	matters are true:		
21	1. An Accusation in case number 05-1997-81247 was filed		
22	with the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California,		
23	Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division") on		
24	December 1, 1999, and is currently pending against LEANDRO G.	}	
25	GATUS, M.D. ("respondent").		
26	2. The Accusation, together with all statutorily		
27	required documents, was duly served on the respondent on or about		

December 1, 1999, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation on or about December 8, 1999. A copy of Accusation No. 05-1997-81247 is attached as Exhibit "1" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- 3. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Complainant") and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Bill Lockyer, by and through Deputy Attorney General Richard D. Marino.
- 4. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 45231.
- 5. Respondent is representing himself in this matter in propria persona.
- 6. Respondent has fully read the charges contained in Accusation No. 05-94-40204. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to

reconsideration, court review and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

- 8. Respondent knowingly, intelligently, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights.
- 9. Respondent admits the truth of paragraphs 1 through 5, inclusive, of Accusation No. 05-1997-81247, and agrees that he has thereby subjected his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2236 for having a sustained a criminal conviction for an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon--namely, driving with a blood alcohol level greater than .08 percent, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (B). Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as set forth below.
- 10. The admissions made by respondent herein are for the purpose of this proceeding and any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.
- 11. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's IT IS Certificate No. A 45231 issued to LEANDRO G. GATUS, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for two (2) years on the following terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- 1. <u>ALCOHOL ABSTAIN FROM USE</u> Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of alcoholic beverages.
- 2. <u>BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING</u> Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's cost, upon the request of the Division or its designee.
- 3. TWELVE STEP PROGRAM Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in an Alcoholics Anonymous or similar 12-Step recovery program until the Division or its designee determines that the respondent's participation in such a program is no longer necessary or until the termination of probation whichever occurs first. Quitting the program without permission or failing to attend the program on a regular basis shall constitute a violation of

probation by respondent.

- 4. ETHICS COURSE Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- 5. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 6. <u>OUARTERLY REPORTS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 7. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

8. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED

б

- PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.
- 10. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended

until the matter is final.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The respondent is hereby ordered to COST RECOVERY 12. reimburse the Division the amount of \$4,000, in 12 egual first year of probation, for its installments during the investigative and prosecution costs. The first installment is due within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision and each subsequent installment every thirty (30) days thereafter. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an alternate installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

- associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to

evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed 2 appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal 3 acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be 4 subject to the terms and conditions of probation. 5 1 1 6 1 1 7 / / 8 / / 10 / / 11 12 / / 13 14 / / 1 1 15 / / 16 17 / / 18 / / / / 19 20 / / 21 / / 22 / / 23 / / 24 25 / / 26 / / 27

CONTINGENCY

This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the approval of the Division of Medical Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

ACCEPTANCE

have read the above Stipulated Settlement I understand the effect this Stipulated Disciplinary Order. Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.

DATED: 2-17-2000

Respondent

26 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: March 14, 2000 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

> RICHARD D. MARINO Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SAGRAMENTO LC.

1 Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California RICHARD D. MARINO (State Bar No. 90471) Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013=1233 Telephone: (213) 897-8644

Attorneys for Complainant

6 7

5

8

9

10

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY

In the Matter of the Accusation) 11 Aqainst:

Case No. 05-1997-81247

ACCUSATION

12

LEANDRO G. GATUS, M.D.

13 4731 Conchita Way Tarzana, Ca. 91356

14

Physician and Surgeon's Certificate) 15 No. A 45231,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director 1. of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California (hereinafter "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.

Respondent.

On or about August 22, 1988, Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 45231 was issued by the Board to LEANDRO G. GATUS, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been in full force

JURISDICTION 2 This accusation is brought before the Board's 3 Division of Medical Quality (hereinafter "Division"), under the 4 authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"): 6 Section 2227 provides that a licensee who is 7 found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his 8 license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one 9 year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of 10 probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation 11 to discipline the Division deems proper. 12 Section 2234 provides that unprofessional 13 includes, but is not limited to, the following: conduct 14 "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or 15 indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, 16 or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter. 17 "(b) Gross negligence. 18 "(c) Repeated negligent acts. 19 "(d) Incompetence. 20 "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty 21 or corruption which is substantially related to the 22 qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and 23 24 surgeon. "(f) Any action or conduct which would have 25 warranted the denial of a certificate. 26

and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on February 28, 2000.

1

C. Section 2236 of the Code provides:

- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and described the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- "(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions,

or duties of a physician and surgeon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred.
 - D. Section 2239 of the Code provides:
- The use orprescribing administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4211, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the this section, referred to in substances combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the

Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

1

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

E. Section 490 of the Code provides:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if substantially related to the crime is qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

F. Section 820 of the Code provides:

"Whenever it appears that any person holding a 1 license, certificate or permit under this division or 2 under any initiative act referred to in this division may 3 be unable to practice his or her profession safely 4 because the licentiate's ability to practice is impaired 5 due to mental illness, or physical illness affecting 6 competency, the licensing agency may order the licentiate 7 to be examined by one or more physicians and surgeons or 8 psychologists designated by the agency. 9 the examiners shall be made available to the licentiate 10 and may be received as direct evidence in proceedings 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

conducted pursuant to Section 822.

Section 821 of the Code provides:

The report of

"The licentiate's failure to comply with an order issued under Section 820 shall constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licentiate's certificate or license. "

> Η. Section 822 of the Code provides:

"If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally or physically ill affecting competency, licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

- "(a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.
 - Suspending the licentiate's right to "(b)

practice.

1.9

- "(c) Placing the licentiate on probation.
- "(d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

"The licensing agency shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated."

I. Section 826 of the Code provides:

"The proceedings under Sections 821 and 822 shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code [Administrative Procedure Act], and the licensing agency and the licentiate shall have all the rights and powers granted therein."

J. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

REIMBURSEMENT FOR MEDI-CAL CLAIMS

4. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code provides:

"(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that circumstances warrant the continued compelling reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

б

(Criminal Conviction --

Driving With Greater Than .08 Percent Blood Alcohol Level)

- 5. Respondent Leandro G. Gatus, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, generally, and 2236, specifically, of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent has sustained a conviction for driving a motor vehicle while having blood alcohol content of .08 percent or greater, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (B), a misdemeanor and a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a respondent's licensure. The facts and circumstances underlying respondent's conviction are as follows:
 - A. On or about January 3, 1994, in the matter entitled The People of the State of California v. Leandro Gulapa Gatus, Misdemeanor Complaint No. 93D05510, Los Angeles County Municipal Court, Van Nuys Judicial District, respondent was charged, in Count 1, with driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, a misdemeanor and violation of Vehicle Code section 22152, subdivision (a), and in Count 2, with driving with greater than .08 percent blood alcohol. Respondent had been stopped for suspicion of drunk driving on December 10, 1993, and arrested for each of the charged offenses when he thereafter failed to pass the field sobriety test or was found to have a blood alcohol level greater than .08 percent. Respondent entered not guilty pleas to both charges.
 - B. On or about February 10, 1994, pursuant to a

9.

negotiated plea agreement, respondent changed his previously entered not guilty plea to the charge set forth in Count 2 of the complaint--namely, driving with a blood alcohol level greater than .08 percent--to nolo contendere. Proceedings were suspended. Respondent was placed on summary probation for 36 months with certain terms and conditions including, among others, that respondent complete an alcohol and drug education program and pay a \$390 fine. Thereafter, the driving under the influence charge in Count 1 was dismissed in the furtherance of justice.

1.4

- C. On or about April 11, 1994, respondent failed to appear before the court or, in the alternative, to file with the court proof of having completed the alcohol and drug education program. A bench warrant for respondent in the amount of \$15,000 was issued by the Court.
- D. On or about May 17, 1994, respondent appeared with proof of having completed the alcohol and drug education program. Proceedings were terminated and the bench warrant was recalled.
- E. Respondent's December 1993 arrest was not his first.
 - (1) On or about November 4, 1987, respondent was found to be in possession of a stolen .357 Magnum revolver during a police investigation of his wife's report of domestic violence.
 - (2) On that date, respondent and his

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

wife engaged in a heated dispute that escalated into a physical altercation when respondent struck his wife across her right cheek.

- The police were called. Upon their (3) arrival, they observed that respondent's wife right cheek reddened and swollen.
- (4)Upon asking respondent's wife whether respondent had any weapons residence, respondent's wife stated that respondent kept a .357 revolver in a kitchen drawer and a .22 caliber rifle in the master bedroom. The police retrieved the .357 revolver from the kitchen and proceeded upstairs where they found respondent in the master bedroom.
- for (5) Respondent was arrested inflicting corporal injury on a spouse, a violation of Penal Code section 273.5. The police seized the .22 caliber rifle which was located in the bedroom as indicated by respondent's wife.
- The police checked the serial number on the .357 Magnum revolver and found that it was Before learning the handgun was stolen, the police were told by respondent that he had the handgun six months earlier. purchased Respondent, however, refused to divulge identity of the seller.
 - The police booked respondent (7)

1.3

- (8) On or about November 11, 1987, in the matter entitled The People of the State of California v. Leandro Gulapa Gatus, Misdemeanor Complaint No. 87F10705, Los Angeles County Municipal Court, San Fernando Judicial District, respondent was charged with inflicting corporal injury on a spouse, in violation of Penal Code section 273.5, subdivision (a), and battery, in violation of Penal Code section 242.
- (9) On or about August 29, 1988, the case was dismissed, respondent having successfully completed a diversion program to which he was admitted on or about February 29, 1988, upon his motion.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction -- Possession of Dangerous Weapon)

6. Respondent Leandro G. Gatus, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, generally, and 2236, specifically, of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent has sustained a conviction for possession of a dangerous weapon, in violation of Penal Code section 12020, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor and a crime which, under the facts and circumstances of its commission, is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of respondent's licensure. The facts and circumstances underlying respondent's conviction are as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- B. After detecting the strong odor of alcohol on respondent and administering the standard field sobriety test which respondent failed, the police placed respondent under arrest for driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, a violation of Vehicle Code section 22152. subdivision (a), and transported him to the police station. During booking, the police found a knife in respondent's front pants pocket. Respondent was charged with possession of a dangerous weapon, a violation of Penal Code section 12020, subdivision (a).
- C. On or about September 30, 1996, in the matter entitled The People of the State of California v. Leandro G. Gatus, Misdemeanor Complaint No. 6PN06997, Los Angeles County Municipal Court, Van Nuys Judicial District, respondent was charged, in Count 1, with possession of a dangerous weapon, a violation of Penal Code section 12020, subdivision (a).
- D. At his arraignment on October 17, 1996, respondent entered a not guilty plea.
- E. On or about November 20, 1996, pursuant to a negotiated plea agreement, respondent changed his previously entered not guilty plea to the charge to nolo contendere. Proceedings were suspended. Respondent was placed on summary probation for 12 months on the term and condition, among

others, that he pay a fine in the amount of \$300.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcoholic Beverages)

- 7. Respondent Leandro G. Gatus, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2239 of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent has used alcoholic beverages or any of the dangerous drugs specified in section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code to the extent or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or to the public; and, that respondent has sustained more than one misdemeanor conviction involving the use or consumption of alcoholic beverages or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in section 2239, as follows:
 - A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference, incorporates herein paragraphs 5, subparagraphs A through E, inclusive, and 6, subparagraphs A through E, inclusive, above as though fully set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

8. Respondent Leandro G. Gatus, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, generally, of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent has engaged in unprofessional conduct by reason of his 1994 and 1996 criminal convictions for offenses which under the facts and circumstances of their commission are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a physician and surgeon; by using alcoholic beverages or any of the dangerous drugs specified in

section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code to the extent or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or to the public; or, by sustaining more than one misdemeanor conviction involving the use or consumption of alcoholic beverages or any of the dangerous drugs specified in section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code, as follows:

A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference, incorporates herein paragraphs 5, subparagraphs A through E, inclusive, and 6, subparagraphs A through E, inclusive, above as though fully set forth.

111

12 ///

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13 | ///

14 | ///

15 | ///

16 | ///

17 | ///

18 ///

19 ///

1.

20 ///

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 45231, heretofore issued to respondent LEANDRO
 GATUS, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 3527;
- 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case as well as the costs of probation monitoring, if applicable; and,
- 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

Ron Joseph

Complainant

Executive Director

State of California

Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs

DATED: December 1, 1999

03573160-LA99AD0000